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Quantized, compact graphs were shown to be excellent paradigms for quantum chaos in bounded systems. Connecting them with leads to infinity we show that they display all the features which characterize scattering systems with an underlying classical chaotic dynamics. We derive exact expressions for the scattering matrix, and an exact trace formula for the density of resonances, in terms of classical orbits, analogous to the semiclassical theory of chaotic scattering. A statistical analysis of the cross sections and resonance parameters compares well with the predictions of Random Matrix Theory. Hence, this system is proposed as a convenient tool to study the generic behavior of chaotic scattering systems, and their semiclassical description.

PACS numbers: 05.45.+b, 03.65.Sq

Quantum graphs provide a very useful tool to study bounded quantum systems which are chaotic in the classical limit \( i \). Here, by attaching infinite leads we turn the compact graphs into scattering systems, and show that they display chaotic scattering \( i \), a phenomenon with applications in many fields, ranging from nuclear physics, to mesoscopic and classical physics, to mesoscopic and classical physics, to mesoscopic and classical physics.

Random Matrix Theory (RMT) and chaotic scattering. Graphs provide new insight on the connection between scattering amplitudes and cross sections. We show that the distribution of resonances and the statistics of the fluctuating amplitudes available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of chaotic approximations available in the theory of classical scattering system. These expressions are the exact analogues of the corresponding semiclassical approximations available in the theory of chaotic scattering. With these tools we analyze the distribution of resonances and the statistics of the fluctuating amplitudes and cross sections. We show that graphs provide new insight on the connection between Random Matrix Theory (RMT) and chaotic scattering. Moreover, we illustrate their advantages as versatile and convenient tools for numerical studies of chaotic scattering.

Consider first a \textit{bounded} graph \( G \). It consists of \( V \) vertices \( i \) connected by \( b \) bonds. The valency \( v_i \) of a vertex \( i \) is the number of bonds which emanate from it, and we allow only a single bond between any two vertices. The total number of bonds is \( B = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{V} v_i \). The bond connecting the vertices \( i \) and \( j \) is denoted by \( b \equiv (i,j) \). We shall also distinguish between the directions on the bond using \( b \equiv (j,i) \) to denote the reverse direction. The length of the bonds are denoted by \( L_b \) and we shall henceforth assume that they are \textit{rationally independent}. In the \textit{directed-bond} notation \( L_b = L_{b} \). The \textit{scattering} graph \( \tilde{G} \) is obtained by adding infinite leads at the vertices of \( G \), changing their valency to \( \tilde{v}_i = v_i + 1 \). The leads are distinguished by the index \( i \) of the vertex to which they are attached.

The Schrödinger operator on the graph consists of the one dimensional Laplacian \(-\text{id}_x - A_b\)^2 supplemented by boundary conditions on the vertices \( i \). The “vector potentials” \( A_b = -A_j \) are introduced to break time reversal symmetry, and their value may vary from bond to bond. On each of the bonds \( b \) or leads \( i \) the wave function is expressed in terms of counter propagating waves with a wave number \( k \):

On the bonds: \( \psi_b = a_b e^{i(k+\Lambda_b)x_b} + a_b e^{i(k+\Lambda_b)(L_b-x_b)} \)

On the leads: \( \psi_i = I_i e^{-ikx_i} + O_i e^{ikx_i} \) \( (1) \)

where the coordinate \( x_b \) on the bond \( b = (i,j) \) takes the value \( 0 \) \( (L_b) \) at the vertex \( i \) \( (j) \) while \( x_i \) measures the distance from the vertex along the lead \( i \).

The amplitudes \( a_{\tilde{v}}, a_b \) on the bonds and \( I_i, O_i \) on the leads are determined by matching conditions at the vertices. They are expressed in terms of the \( v_i \times v_i \) \textit{vertex scattering matrices} \( \Sigma^{(i)}_{j,j'} \), where \( j, j' \) go over all the \( v_{\tilde{v}} \) bonds and the lead which emanate from \( i \). The \( \Sigma^{(i)} \) are symmetric \textit{unitary} matrices, which guarantee current conservation at each vertex by requiring

\[ \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{(i)}_{j,j'} \ \rho^{(i)}_{j,j'} \ \tau^{(i)}_{j,j'} \ \delta^{(i)}_{j,j'} \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} I_i \\ a_{\tilde{v},j'} \\ a_{\tilde{v},j} \\ j_{\tilde{v},i} \\ j_{\tilde{v},i} \end{pmatrix} \]

where \( c_{j,i} = a_{\tilde{v},j} e^{i(k+\Lambda_{(j,i)})(L_{(j,i)})} \). Above, the vertex scattering matrix \( \Sigma^{(i)} \) was written explicitly in terms of the vertex reflection amplitude \( \rho^{(i)} \), the lead-bond transmission amplitudes \( \{ \tau^{(i)}_{j,j'} \} \), and the \( v_{\tilde{v}} \times v_{\tilde{v}} \) bond-bond transition matrix \( \delta^{(i)}_{j,j'} \), which is sub \textit{unitary} \( | \det \delta^{(i)} | < 1 \), due to the coupling to the leads. As an example, for \( v \)-regular graphs \( v_{\tilde{v}} = v \tilde{v} \) with Neumann matching conditions on the vertices, \( \tilde{i} \):

\[ \delta^{(i)}_{j,j'} = \frac{2}{v+1} - \delta_{j,j'}; \tau^{(i)}_{j,j} = \frac{2}{v+1}; \rho^{(i)} = \frac{2}{v+1} - 1. \]

Combining the equations (2) for all the vertices, we obtain the \( V \times V \) scattering matrix \( S^{(V)} \) which relates the outgoing and incoming amplitudes on the leads,

\[
S^{(V)}_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j} \rho^{(i)} + \sum_{R,s} \tau_s^{(i)} \left(I - \tilde{S}(k; A)\right)^{-1}_{(i,r),(s,j)} D_{(s,j)} \tau_s^{(j)}. \tag{4}
\]

Here, \( D_{(s,j)}(k; A) = \exp(i(k + A_{(s,j)})L_{(s,j)}) \) is a diagonal matrix in the \( 2B \) space of directed bonds. The matrix \( \tilde{S}(k; A) = D(k; A)R \) propagates the wave functions: \( R \) assigns a scattering amplitude for transitions between connected directed bonds: \( R_{(i,r),(s,j)} = \delta_{r,s} \delta_i^{(s)}; D(k; A) \) provides the phase due to free propagation. The matrix \( \tilde{R} \) is sub-unitary, since \( | \det \tilde{R} | = \prod_{P, \{ -j \}} | \det \tilde{\sigma}^{(i)}_{s,r} | < 1 \). The scattering matrix (8) is interpreted in the following way. The prompt reflection at the entrance vertex induces a “direct” component. The “chaotic” component starts by a transmission from the incoming lead \( i \) to the bonds \( (i, r) \) with transmission amplitudes \( \tau_s^{(i)} \). Multiple scattering is induced by \( (I - \tilde{S}(k; A))^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \tilde{S}^n(k; A) \); the wave gains a phase \( e^{i(k + A_b)L_b} \) for each bond it traverses, and a scattering amplitude \( \tilde{\sigma}_s^{(i)} \) at each vertex, until it is transmitted from the bond \( (s, j) \) to the lead \( j \) with an amplitude \( \tau_s^{(j)} \). Explicitly,

\[
S^{(V)}_{i,j} = \delta_{i,j} \rho^{(i)} + \sum_{P \in P_{i \rightarrow j}} B_p e^{i(kp + bp)} \tag{5}
\]

where \( P_{i \rightarrow j} \) is the set of the trajectories on \( \tilde{G} \) which lead from \( i \) to \( j \). \( B_p \) is the amplitude corresponding to a path \( p \) whose length and directed length are \( l_p = \sum_{b \in p} L_b \) and \( b_p = \sum_{b \in p} L_b A_b \) respectively. The scattering amplitude \( S^{(V)}_{i,j} \) is a sum of a large number of partial amplitudes, whose complex interference brings about the typical irregular fluctuations of \( |S^{(V)}_{i,j}|^2 \) as a function of \( k \).

The resonances are the (complex) zeros of

\[
Z_{\tilde{G}}(k) = \det \left(I - \tilde{S}(k; A)\right). \tag{6}
\]

The eigenvalues of \( \tilde{S} \) are in the unit circle, and therefore the resonances appear in the lower half of the complex \( k \) plane. Denoting the eigenvalues of \( S^{(V)}(k) \) by \( e^{i\theta(k)} \),

\[
|S^{(V)}(k)| = \exp[i\Theta(k)] = \exp[i\sum_{l=1}^{\infty} \theta_l(k)],
\]

\( \Theta(k) - \Theta(0) = -2i m \log \det(I - \tilde{S}(k; A)) + Lk. \tag{7} \]

\( L = 2 \sum_{b=1}^{2B} L_b \) is twice the total length of the bonds of \( \tilde{G} \). The resonance density \( d_R(k) \) (which is proportional to the Wigner delay time \( 2Lk \)) is given by

\[
d_R(k) \equiv \frac{1}{2\pi \sum \theta_l(k)} d_M(k) \tag{8}
\]

where \( \sum \theta_l(k) \) is the total length of the bonds of \( \tilde{G} \). The resonance density \( d_R(k) \) (which is proportional to the Wigner delay time) \( d_M(k) \) is given by

\[
d_M(k) = \frac{1}{2\pi \sum \theta_l(k)} d_M(k) \tag{9}
\]

The classical dynamics associated with \( \tilde{G} \) can be easily defined on the bonds, but not on the vertices which are singular points. However, a Liouville description is constructed (see [1]) by considering the evolution of a phase-space description \( \tilde{G}_{\chi} \) of the transition probabilities \( \tilde{U}_{b,b'} \) between connected bonds \( b, b' \), taken from the corresponding quantum evolution operator, \( \tilde{U}_{b,b'} = \tilde{R}_{b,b'}^2 \). Due to scattering to the leads \( \sum_{b'} \tilde{U}_{b,b'} < 1 \), and the phase-space measure is not preserved, but rather, decays in time. Let \( \tilde{p}_b(n) \) denote the probability to occupy the bond \( b \) at the (topological) time \( n \). The probability to remain on \( \tilde{G} \) is

\[
\tilde{P}(n) = \prod_{b=1}^{2B} \tilde{p}_b(n) = \sum_{b, b'} \tilde{U}_{bb'} \tilde{p}_b(n-1) \simeq e^{-\Gamma \tilde{c} n} \tilde{P}(0) \tag{10}
\]

where \( \exp(-\Gamma \tilde{c}) \) is the largest eigenvalue of the “leaky” evolution operator \( \tilde{U}_{bb'} \). For the \( v \)-regular graph (8), the probability to leak to the lead per time step is \( \tau^2 \), hence, \( \Gamma \tilde{c} \approx (2/(1 + v))^2 \). The set of trapped trajectories whose occupancy decays exponentially in time is the analogue

**FIG. 1.** (a) The 5000 resonances of a single realization of a pentagon with \( A \neq 0 \). The solid line marks the position of the gap \( 2\gamma_{gap} \). (b) The distribution \( P(\gamma) \). The solid line is the RMT prediction [13]. The difference \( P(\gamma) - P_{CUK}(\gamma) \) is shown in the inset.
of the strange repeller in generic Hamiltonian systems
displaying “chaotic scattering”.

The formalism above can be easily modified for graphs
where not all the vertices are attached to leads. If \( l \), is not
attached, one has to set \( \rho^{(l)} = 1, \gamma^{(l)} = 0 \) in the definition
of \( \Sigma^{(l)} \). The dimension of \( S(V) \) is changed accordingly.

For generic graphs, the eigenvalues of the \( S \) matrix
are strictly inside the unit circle so the resonance widths
\( \Gamma_n \equiv -2 \Im \rho(k_n) \), are excluded from the domain
\( \Gamma_n \geq \Gamma_{\text{gap}} = -2 \log(1/\lambda_{\text{max}})/L_{\text{max}} \), where \( \lambda_{\text{max}} \) is the largest
eigenvalue of \( \tilde{S}(0; A) \) and \( L_{\text{max}} \) is the longest bond. The
existence of a gap - typical for chaotic scattering \( 3 \) from
sufficiently open scatterers- is apparent in Fig. 1a. The
widths are scaled by the mean spacing \( \Delta \) between resonances i.e. \( \gamma_n \equiv \frac{1}{2 \Delta} \) so that \( \langle \gamma \rangle_k \) determines whether
the resonances are overlapping (\( \langle \gamma \rangle_k > 1 \)) or isolated
(\( \langle \gamma \rangle_k < 1 \)) (\( \langle \cdot \rangle_k \) denotes spectral averaging).
The distribution of \( \{ \gamma_n \} \)'s is shown in Fig. 1b together with the predictions of RMT for the CUE ensemble \( 13 \). In spite
of the general good agreement, it deviates systemati-

cally up to \( \sim 10^2 \gamma \\Delta \). Changing \( \gamma \) and \( \nu \) we can control the degree of overlap allowing to test various
phenomena as will be described below.

\[
K_R(t) = \int d\chi \ e^{2\pi i \chi t} \left( \tilde{d}_R(k + \frac{\chi}{2}) \ 	ilde{d}_R(k - \frac{\chi}{2}) \right)_k
\]

where \( \tilde{d}_R(k) \) is the oscillatory part of \( d_R(k) \). Substituting \( \tilde{R}, \)
we find that the value of \( K_R(t) \) equals the squared sum of amplitudes \( \tilde{A}_p \) of the periodic orbits of length
\( r_l = t \mathcal{L} \). A similar sum contributes to the spectral from
of the compact graph \( G \) \( 4 \). The corresponding amplitudes are different due to the fact that \( \tilde{A}_p \) includes also the information about the escape of flux to the leads.
Assuming that all periodic orbits decay at the same rate,
we would expect \( K_R(t) \approx K(t)e^{-\gamma_{\text{cl}} t} \), where \( K(t) \) is the form factor for the compact system \( 14 \). This simple approxi-

mation is checked in the inset of Fig. 2 (see dashed
line) and it is shown to reproduce the numerical data
rather well in the domain \( t \leq 5 \). The asymptotic decay is
dominated by the resonances which are nearest to the
gap, and it cannot be captured by the crude argument
presented above. For generic graph, \( K_R \) decays exponen-
tially but with a rate given by \( \gamma_{\text{as}} = \gamma_{\text{gap}} \) (the best
fit, indicated in Fig. 2 by the dashed line, give \( \gamma_{\text{as}} \) that
deviates by 30% from \( \gamma_{\text{gap}} \)). For the graph with Neu-
mann boundary conditions, \( \gamma_{\text{gap}} = 0 \) and one expects an
asymptotic power-law decay. (The corresponding dashed
line in Fig. 2 shows \( t^{-2} \).

Another signature of overlapping resonances are the
Ercison fluctuations observed in the \( k \) dependence of the
scattering cross-sections. They are one of the prominent
features which characterize generic chaotic scattering, in
the semiclassical limit. A convenient measure for Ercison
fluctuations is the autocorrelation function

\[
C(\chi ; \nu) = \frac{1}{\Delta j} \sum_{j=j_{\text{min}}}^{j_{\text{max}}} \langle S_V^{(j)}(k + \frac{\chi}{2}) S_V^{(j)}(k - \frac{\chi}{2}) \rangle_k
\]

where \( \Delta j = j_{\text{max}} - j_{\text{min}} + 1 \). Substituting \( \tilde{R} \) in \( 11 \)
we split the sum over trajectories into two distinct parts:
the contributions of short trajectories are computed ex-
plicitly up to following the multiple scattering expansion up
to trajectories of length \( L_{\text{max}} \). The contribution of longer
orbits are approximated by using the diagonal approxi-
mation, which results in a Lorentzian with a width \( \gamma_{\text{er}} \),
expected to be well approximated by \( \gamma_{\text{cl}} \). Including ex-

plicitly up to \( n = 3 \) scatterings we get,

\[
C(\chi ; \nu) = Ge^{3\gamma_{\text{er}} - \gamma_{\text{er}} - \chi \gamma_{\text{er}}} + \frac{1}{\Delta j} \sum_{j=j_{\text{min}}}^{j_{\text{max}}} \left[ \tau^4 e^{\chi L_{j, j + \nu}} \right] + \tau^6 \sum_{m \neq j, j + \nu} e^{\chi (L_{m, m} + L_{m, j + \nu})} \]

where the constant \( G \) is determined by the normalization
condition \( C(\chi = 0; \nu) = 1 \). The interplay between the
contributions of long and short periodic orbits is shown in
Fig. 3a. For overlapping resonances, the autocorrelation
function is well reproduced by the Lorentzian expected
from the standard theory of Ercison fluctuations. The

---

**FIG. 2.** The form factor \( K_R(t) \) for a pentagon with generic and
Neumann boundary conditions with the same mean resonance
width \( \langle \gamma \rangle \) and \( A \neq 0 \). The data were averaged over 5000 spectral
intervals and smoothed on small \( t \) intervals. In the inset we show
\( K_R(t) \) for small times. Solid line correspond to the numerical data
for the pentagon with generic boundary conditions while dashed
line is the approximant \( K_R(t) \approx K(t)e^{\gamma_{\text{cl}} t} \).

We shall use \( 8 \) to study the resonance correlation
function in terms of its form-factor
other case corresponds to isolated resonances where the contributions of short paths are clearly seen. From each of the various statistical measures of the resonance density and the cross sections fluctuations discussed above, we extracted the effective average $\gamma$, which would fit best the numerical data. In Fig. 3b we compare these numerical values, with the classical expectation, and the predictions of RMT. The results justify the use of the classical estimate for the computation of these quantities especially in the limit $V \to \infty$ for fixed $v/V$ (which is the analogue of the semiclassical limit). In this limit, the RMT and and the classical estimate coincide.

To investigate further the statistical properties of the $S^{(V)}$ matrix, we study the distribution of scaled partial Wigner delay times $T = \frac{\Delta}{2\pi} \frac{\partial \eta_{\gamma}(k)}{\partial k}$. The resulting distribution for various graphs with $A = 0$ and $A \neq 0$ are shown in Figs. 4a,b respectively, together with the predictions of RMT. An overall agreement is evident. Deviations appear at the short time regime (i.e. short orbits), during which the “chaotic” component due to multiple scattering is not yet fully developed.

To summarize, we presented analytical and numerical results, on the basis of which, we propose quantum graphs as a model for the study of quantum chaotic scattering. Their simplicity enable us to get new understanding on the subject. Because of lack of space we defer the discussion of other results and further comparisons with RMT to a later publication.
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